

Appendix 1 – Capital Scoring Matrix – Torbay Road/Rathmore Road, Torquay – Junction Improvement Development.

Capital Projects Assessment Criteria

Possible Weightings

1 Statutory Status: includes support of a statutory Service requirement

3 points	Meets a specific immediate or forthcoming statutory requirement	factor = x	3
2 points	Meets an underlying statutory duty	Max score	9
1 point	Meets a discretionary requirement		
0 points	no indication of status	Score	3

2 Corporate Plan Priorities

3 points	Specifically identified in Corporate Plan	factor = x	2
2 points	Identified as a key Project/Activity in the Corporate Plan or directly supports a number of specific outcomes	Max score	6
1 point	Generally supports specific Actions or outcomes		
0 points	Will not deliver any identified outcomes	Score	2

3 Mayoral Promises (per Manifesto)

3 points	Identified as a specific Action or directly supports a number of specific outcomes	factor = x	1
2 points	Generally supports specific Actions or outcomes	Max score	3
1 point	Broadly related to achieving outcomes		
0 points	Will not deliver any identified outcomes	Score	2

4 Equality , Diversity & Deprivation Issues

3 points	Will achieve improvement in 3 issues	factor = x	1
2 points	Will achieve improvement in at least 1 issue	Max score	3
1 point	Possibility of improvement in at least 1 issue		
0 points	No demonstrated improvement in any issues	Score	2

5 Condition, Health and Safety risk and Strategic Importance of Asset Issues

3 points	Expenditure on asset will reduce impact of 3 issues	factor = x	1
2 points	Expenditure on asset will reduce impact of at least 1 issue	Max score	3
1 point	Expenditure will have a possibility of reduced impact in at least 1 issue		
0 points	No demonstrated impact on any issues	Score	2

6 Outcomes, Added Value, Cross-service benefit

3 points	Good - Large number of beneficiaries / target groups (>25,000)	factor = x	1
2 points	Satisfactory - Significant number of beneficiaries / target groups (10,000-25,000)	Max score	3
1 point	Fair - Reasonable number of beneficiaries / target groups (1,000-10,000)		
0 points	Poor - Few beneficiaries / target groups (<1,000)	Score	1

7 Risk to Community of NOT doing (i.e. identified in Risk Register)

3 points	High Risk (9-16)	factor = x	2
----------	------------------	------------	----------

2 points	Medium Risk (5-8)	Max score	6
1 point	Low Risk (1-4)		
0 points	no Risk identified	Score	2

8 Risk of Doing (Can project be delivered?) - achievability, timescale, resources required

3 points	Low Risk (1-4)	factor = x	2
2 points	Medium Risk (5-8)	Max score	6
1 point	High Risk (9-16) with Mitigation		
0 points	High Risk (9-16) with no Mitigation	Score	4

9 Quality of Business Plan

3 points	Option proposed demonstrates a strong case based on full assessment of the options	factor = x	2
2 points	Reasonable case with some assessment of the options	Max score	6
1 point	Basic case presented		
0 points	Weak case with no comparison of options	Score	4

10 Potential to generate future investment return

3 points	Considerable additional net revenue income stream meets both £100k pa and > 25% of project cost)	factor = x	5
2 points	Moderate additional net revenue income stream (meets both £50k - £100k pa and 10-25% of project cost)	Max score	15
1 point	Small additional net revenue income stream (meets both <£50k pa and < 10% of project cost)		
0 points	No potential net revenue income	Score	0

11 Ongoing revenue costs over the life of the asset

2 points	Revenue saving or income exceeds borrowing and running costs	factor = x	2
1 points	Revenue saving or income exceeds running costs	Max score	4
0 points	Additional costs can be met solely from within existing resources		
-2 points	Additional on going resources required over existing budgets	Score	5

12 Specific External resources to support scheme (including Regional funding)

3 points	Specific (ring fenced) funding requires no additional Council funds	factor = x	4
2 points	Specific (ring fenced) funding and requires Council funds of both 10% match funding or up to £250k	Max score	12
1 point	Specific (ring fenced) funding and requires Council funds of both 50% match funding or between £250-500k		
0 points	Specific (ring fenced) funding but requires Council funds of both 75% match funding or > £500k	Score	12

13 Deprivation Critical Factor

1 points	Project is able to reduce the level of deprivation within Bay	factor = x	5
0 points	Project does not impact or has minimal impact on reducing the level of deprivation within Bay	Max score	5
		Score	0

Max score	81
Score	39

